

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/768,725		01/24/2001	Yoshihiro Izumi	55565(820)	1222	
21874	7590	04/23/2003				
EDWARD	S & ANG	GELL, LLP	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 9 BOSTON, N		9	NGUYEN, DUNG T			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2871		
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 4 41 41		A 11 44 h					
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	/				
	Office Action	09/768,725		IZUMI ET AL.	•				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Dung Nguyen	•	2871					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sisions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howen y within the statutory min will apply and will expire t, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commi	unication.				
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•							
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fi	nal.	•					
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims				nerits is				
4)□	Claim(s) $1-12$ is/are pending in the application	۱.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ment.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)□ .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 January 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	eived.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	eived in Applicati	on No					
* \$	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional ap	plication).				
а) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional applicati	on has been rec	eived.	,				
Attachmen	t(s)		· -						
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4)		r (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ₋ Patent Application (PTO-15					
U.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary		Part of Pa	per No. 2				

Application/Control Number: 09/768,725

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fukunaga et al., US Patent No. 5,706,064.

The above claims are anticipated by Fukunaga et al. figure 2A which disclose a liquid crystal display (LCD) in which an active matrix substrate having electrode wiring lines (221, 222) arranged in a matrix form, a plurality of active elements (thin film transistors TFTs) and a plurality of pixel electrodes (224), wherein the pixel electrodes are formed of a transparent conductive oxide film (e.g., ITO) made of a sol-gel material (col. 5, lines 44-46).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukunaga et al., US Patent No. 5,706,064, in view of Akiyama et al., US Patent No. 5,986,724.

Application/Control Number: 09/768,725

Art Unit: 2871

Regarding claims 2-3, 6 and 11, Fukunaga et al. disclose the claimed invention as described above except for the pixel electrodes formed prior the electrode wiring lines and the active elements. Akiyama et al. do disclose a pixel electrode can be formed so as no electrode wiring lines or an active element exits between the pixel electrode and a substrate (see figure 38). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to form the Fukunaga et al. pixel electrode prior to form the electrode wiring lines and the active elements as shown by Akiyama et al. in order to avoid deterioration caused by a high temperature in a sol-gel process (see paragraph bridging columns 28 and 29).

Regarding claim 4, the limitation of "the pixel electrodes are <u>treated</u> with heat..."

(emphasis added) recites a one-step process which does not further limit the structure of the claimed LCD device. Therefore, the process limitation does not have patentable weight. See MPEP § 2113.

5. Claims 7-9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukunaga et al., US Patent No. 5,706,064, in view of Akiyama et al., US Patent No. 5,986,724, further in view of Satoshi et al., JP 2000-174012.

Regarding claims 7-9 and 12, the modification to the Fukunaga et al. LCD disclose the claimed invention except for the sol-gel material having photosensitive. Satoshi et al. disclose a sol-gel material can be added with a photosensitive agent (see Solution). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to add a photosensitive agent in a sol-gel material as shown by Satoshi et al. in order to obtain an excellent optical characteristics (see Abstract).

Application/Control Number: 09/768,725

Art Unit: 2871

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0423. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 703-305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7726 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

DN 04/18/2003

Dung Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit 2871